



MODEL TEACHING AND EXAMINATION REGULATIONS

BACHELOR's Degree Programmes

A. Model faculty section

B. Model programme-specific section

Adopted by the Executive Board,
decision number 2018-061117, dated 3 July 2018

Disclaimer: This translation is provided for information purposes only. In the event of a difference of interpretation, the original Dutch version of this document is binding.

Introduction to the Model Teaching and Examination Regulations for BACHELOR's degree programmes

General

Since the introduction of the Higher Education and Research Act (*Wet op het hoger onderwijs en wetenschappelijk onderzoek*, WHW) in 1993, the curriculum and assessment of every Dutch higher education programme, or group of programmes, must be outlined in a set of teaching and examination regulations (*Onderwijs- en Examenregeling*, OER).

Under Section 7.13, subsection 1, of the WHW, the OER must provide 'adequate and clear' information about the programme. Section 7.13, subsection 2, lists the topics it must at least cover in terms of procedures, rights and obligations related to teaching and examination of a programme or group of programmes. The WHW also defines a number of other matters to be regulated in the OER. Attached to this document, as Appendix I, you will find a summary matching the provisions of this model OER to the relevant articles of the WHW.

The model OER is divided into two components (Section A and Section B) that together make up the OER. Section A, which may be considered as the Faculty section, includes provisions that may apply to multiple degree programmes. Section B includes provisions that are programme-specific. Although these two components are parts of a whole and together make up the Teaching and Examination Regulations of a degree programme or a group of programmes, they may be amended separately from one another.

In terms of its structure, the model OER contained in this document has been adopted by the Executive Board of the University of Amsterdam as an official – and hence fixed – 'guideline to deans' (hereafter 'official guideline'), as defined in Section 9.5 of the WHW. It contains provisions of five kinds.

Most of its articles cover topics that must be regulated in an OER, pursuant to Section 7.13 or other provisions of the WHW. These texts are 'boxed' in this document. If not fixed as official guidelines (see next paragraph), however, their wording may be amended as long as the topic concerned is still properly regulated within the prescribed structure.

The articles that have been placed in a box and shaded in grey indicate that the relevant subject should be regulated and organised this way, as an official UvA guideline is concerned. These official guidelines are adopted by the Executive Board, with due observance of the authorities of the representative advisory bodies on the matter. The dean may not deviate from these procedures. Appendix II provides a list of the official guidelines that are relevant to this model OER, including the date upon which the decision was adopted as well as the date of entry into force.

For the sake of the readability of the OER, the OER includes articles that have been directly taken or paraphrased from the WHW. The representative advisory bodies' right of approval/to be consulted shall not apply to these articles. The text of these articles has been placed in a double box.

Texts that are not applicable to all programmes are preceded by the word 'optional', in italics and between square brackets: [*optional*:] Optional sections of text are also enclosed in square brackets.

The remaining, unboxed, articles are items that are not governed by specific inclusion in the OER by the WHW, nor have been adopted as official guidelines. In part, these relate to topics that are regulated in the WHW, but for which there is no explicit indication that these subjects should be included in the OER. The remainder are included with a view to providing 'adequate and clear'

information, or in order to comply with the university's own internal regulations. It is recommended that these articles be included in the OER as they appear in the model.

If necessary, additional provisions may be added to regulate aspects specific to the programme in question. In that case, however, the structure and numbering system of the model OER should be maintained as far as possible. Ideally, such articles should be added at the end of an existing chapter and continue the numbering sequence of the last existing article. If provisions must be placed between existing articles, avoid disrupting the sequence by using a suffix letter (e.g. Article 1.1a). Consistency of numbering in all our OERs aids transparency and improves quality assurance.

Where the model OER refers to 'he', this shall also refer to 'she' or 'them'.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 9.38 under b of the WHW, the Faculty Student Council shall in any case have the right of approval regarding the adoption and amendment of the Teaching and Examination Regulations in relation to the subjects referred to in Section 7.13, subsection 2, under h through u, of the WHW. The Faculty Student Council is also free to provide unsolicited advice in respect of the other subjects.

As of 1 September 2017, the Programme Committees shall have the right of approval regarding the adoption and amendment of the Teaching and Examination Regulations in relation to the subjects referred to in Section 7.13, subsection 2, under a1 through e, g and v, of the WHW. The Programme Committee shall have the right to be consulted regarding subjects for which it does not have right of approval.

For other rights and obligations, you are referred to the Students' Charter.



Section A: Faculty section

1. General provisions

Article 1.1 Applicability of the Regulations

Article 1.2 Definitions

2. Previous education and admission

Article 2.1 Previous education

Article 2.2 Equivalent previous education

Article 2.3 Entrance examination

Article 2.4 Refusal or termination of enrolment/iudicium abeundi

3. Degree programme structure

Article 3.1 Structure of the academic year

Article 3.2 Structure of the degree programme

4. Assessment and examinations

Article 4.1 Participation in examinations

Article 4.2 Type of examination

Article 4.3 Oral examinations

Article 4.4 Recording and announcement of assessment results

Article 4.5 Examination opportunities

Article 4.6 Marks and other results

Article 4.7 Exemption

Article 4.8 Validity period for results

Article 4.9 Right of inspection

Article 4.10 Post-exam discussion

Article 4.11 Bachelor's final examination

Article 4.12 Degree certificate and statement

Article 4.13 Fraud and plagiarism

5. Honours Programme

Article 5.1 Honours programme

6. Academic student counselling, advice regarding continuation of studies and study progress

Article 6.1 Study progress administration and academic student counselling

Article 6.2 Advice regarding continuation of studies

Article 6.3 Binding (negative) advice regarding continuation of studies (BSA)

Article 6.4 Personal circumstances

Article 6.5 Adaptations for students with a disability

7. Teaching evaluation

Article 7.1 Teaching evaluation

8. Hardship clause

Article 8.1 Hardship clause

9. Transitional and final provisions

Article 9.1 Amendments and periodic review

Article 9.2 Transitional provisions

Article 9.3 Publication

Article 9.4 Effective date

Section B: Programme-specific section**1. General provisions**

- Article 1.1 Definitions
- Article 1.2 Degree programme information
- Article 1.2a Flexible learning
- Article 1.3 Main subjects

2. Programme objectives and exit qualifications

- Article 2.1 Programme objectives
- Article 2.2 Exit qualifications

3. Further admission requirements

- Article 3.1 Additional previous education requirements
- Article 3.2 Entrance examination
- Article 3.3 Dutch-language requirements for Dutch-language Bachelor's programmes
or
- Article 3.5 English-language requirements for English-language Bachelor's programmes

4. Curriculum structure

- Article 4.1 Composition of the degree programme
- Article 4.2 Units of study
- Article 4.3 The major/compulsory units of study
- Article 4.4 Electives
- Article 4.5 Free curriculum
- Article 4.6 Practical exercise [*or*: not applicable; N/A]
- Article 4.7 Sequence of examinations
- Article 4.8 Further conditions for participation in units of study and examinations
- Article 4.9 Further conditions for examination opportunities
- Article 4.10 Participation in practical exercises and tutorials [*or*: N/A]
- Article 4.11 Further conditions for exemptions
- Article 4.12 Validity period of results
- Article 4.13 Degree

5. Electives

- Article 5.1 Minors
- Article 5.2 Electives
- Article 5.3 Other electives

6. Honours Programme

- Article 6.1 Honours programme
- Article 6.2 Honours Programme admission requirements
- Article 6.3 Content of the Honours Programme

7. Academic student counselling and advice regarding continuation of studies

- Article 7.1 Academic student counselling
- Article 7.2 Binding (negative) advice regarding continuation of studies (BSA)

8. Teaching evaluation

- Article 8.1 Teaching evaluation

9. Transitional and final provisions

- Article 9.1 Amendments and periodic review
- Article 9.2 Transitional provisions
- Article 9.3 Publication
- Article 9.4 Effective date

Section A: Faculty section**1. General provisions***Article 1.1 Applicability of the Regulations*

1. These Regulations apply to the teaching and examinations for the Bachelor's degree programmes (hereinafter referred to as: the degree programme) provided by the Faculty of (hereinafter referred to as: the faculty) of the University of Amsterdam.
2. These Regulations consist of a Faculty section (A) and a programme-specific section (B). Section A contains general provisions and applies to the teaching and examinations of the Bachelor's degree programmes of the Faculty of Section B contains programme-specific provisions. Together, Section A and Section B constitute the programme's Teaching and Examination Regulations.
3. These Regulations can be declared to apply equally to the joint degree programmes and units of study referred to in Section 7.3c of the Dutch Higher Education and Research Act (WHW) that are co-organised by the Faculty.
4. These Regulations apply to everyone enrolled in (units of study of) the programme, irrespective of the academic year in which the student first enrolled in (units of study of) the programme.
5. Section B of these Teaching and Examination Regulations may contain additional general provisions for the programme in question.

Article 1.2 Definitions

The following definitions are used in these Regulations:

- a. ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System): an ECTS credit with a workload of 28 hours of study;
- b. final examination: the final examination of the Bachelor's programme;
- c. Examinations Board: the Examinations Board for one or more faculty degree programmes, in accordance with Section 7.12 of the WHW;
- d. examiner: the individual appointed by the Examinations Board to administer examinations and determine the results, in accordance with Section 7.12 c of the WHW;
- e. fraud and plagiarism: a student's acts or failures to act that make it wholly or partially impossible to accurately judge their knowledge, understanding and skills; please see [\[Fraud and Plagiarism\]](#) for the text of the Regulations governing Fraud and Plagiarism;
- f. joint degree: a degree awarded by an institution together with one or more institutions in the Netherlands or abroad, after the student has completed a study programme (a degree programme, a major or a specific curriculum within a degree programme) for which the collaborating institutions are jointly responsible;
- g. unit of study: a degree programme component assessed by means of an examination;
- h. degree programme: a coherent whole of units of study, aimed at achieving clearly defined objectives regarding the knowledge, insight and skills to be acquired by the student enrolled in the degree programme and assessed by means of an examination. All units of study are assessed by means of an examination;
- i. programme charter: the part of the Student Charter specific to the degree programme, in accordance with Section 7.59 of the WHW; see [\[link website faculty\]](#).
- j. period: a part of a semester;
- k. practical exercise: participation in practical training or other educational learning activities aimed at acquiring certain academic or other skills. Examples of practical exercises include:
 - researching and writing a final paper or thesis;
 - carrying out a research assignment;

- taking part in computer lab sessions;
 - taking part in fieldwork or an excursion;
 - taking part in another educational learning activity aimed at acquiring specific skills;
 - completing a work placement;
- l. curriculum: the totality and cohesion of the components, teaching methods, contact hours, testing and examination methods and recommended literature;
- m. thesis: a unit of study comprising research into the literature and/or contributing to scientific research, always resulting in a written report;
- n. final paper: see thesis;
- o. SIS: the Student Information System;
- p. course catalogue: the degree programme guide containing a detailed description of the programme-specific provisions and other programme-specific information. The digital Course Catalogue is available on [Course Catalogue](#);
- q. workload: the workload of the unit of study to which an examination applies, expressed in terms of ECTS credits. The workload for 1 year (1680 hours) is 60 ECTS credits;
- r. academic year: the period commencing on 1 September and ending on 31 August of the following calendar year;
- s. examination: an assessment of a student's knowledge, understanding and skills relating to a unit of study. The assessment is expressed in terms of a final mark. An examination may consist of one or more interim or other examinations. A resit always covers the same material as the original examination;
- t. University: the University of Amsterdam;
- u. WHW: the Dutch Higher Education and Research Act (*Wet op het hoger onderwijs en wetenschappelijk onderzoek*);
- The other terms have the meanings ascribed to them by the WHW.

2. Previous education and admission

Article 2.1 Previous education

1. A person shall be admitted to the programme if he/she has a pre-university diploma as referred to in Section 7.24 of the WHW, or if he/she is exempt from this requirement based on Section 7.28, second and subsequent subsections of the WHW.
2. Section B stipulates the cases in which individuals who do not meet the requirements for previous education as referred to in Section 7.24 of the WHW, but who are in possession of a first-year higher professional education (HBO) diploma, are admitted to the degree programme.

Article 2.2 Equivalent previous education

1. Anyone possessing a diploma awarded in a state that is not a party to the Lisbon Treaty (Treaty Series 2002, 137) must submit the diploma to the Executive Board via Student Services. The Executive Board will determine whether the student meets the requirements for admission to the programme based on the diploma and any additional evidence submitted.
2. Anyone wishing to obtain an exemption from the previous education requirements pursuant to Section 7.28 on the basis of a diploma not issued in the Netherlands cannot be enrolled until he/she has demonstrated sufficient proficiency in the language of instruction in order to follow the programme.
3. Anyone wishing to obtain an exemption from the previous education requirements pursuant to Section 7.28 on the basis of a foreign diploma satisfies, if applicable, the requirement for sufficient proficiency in the Dutch language once he/she has passed one of the examinations referred to in Section B or has obtained an exemption from taking this examination.

4. Anyone wishing to obtain an exemption from the previous requirements pursuant to Section 7.28 on the basis of a foreign diploma satisfies, if applicable, the proficiency requirement in English as the language of instruction once he/she has passed one of the examinations referred to in Section B at the level specified there.

Article 2.3 Entrance examination

1. Persons aged 21 years and older who do not meet the requirements for previous education referred to in Article 2.1 or 2.2 can submit a request to the Executive Board to take an entrance examination (*colloquium doctum*), as stipulated in Section 7.29 of the WHW.
2. Section B stipulates the requirements set for such an examination.

Article 2.4 Refusal or termination of enrolment/iudicium abeundi

1. Based on the provisions of Section 7.42a of the WHW, the dean or the Examinations Board may, in exceptional cases, ask the Executive Board to terminate or refuse a student's enrolment in a programme if that student's actions or remarks show that he/she is unsuitable either for practising one or more of the professions for which the programme in question is preparing the student or for the practical preparation for professional practice.
2. If a student is suspected of being unsuitable as described in paragraph 1, the Examinations Board or the dean will institute an inquiry, of which the student will be notified immediately. The Examinations Board or the dean will not issue any recommendation without carefully considering the interests involved and giving the student the opportunity to be heard.

3. Degree programme structure

Article 3.1 Structure of academic year

1. The degree programme shall be provided in a semester structure as outlined in the Decision on the Academic Calendar [besluit Jaarindeling](#).
2. The degree programme will provide at least 12 contact hours per week during the first year.

Article 3.2 Structure of the degree programme

1. The programme comprises the units of study referred to in Section B of the Teaching and Examination Regulations.
2. The programme has a workload of at least 180 ECTS.
3. Further conditions with regard to registration for participation in a unit of study, if applicable, are described in Section B.

4. Assessment and examinations

Article 4.1 Participation in examinations

1. Students who have registered correctly for participation in a unit of study and who have been admitted to this unit of study will automatically be registered to take part in the associated examination. Further provisions in relation thereto, if applicable, are included in Section B.
2. The provisions of paragraph 1 shall not apply to students who are only enrolled in one or more Master's programmes. These students are not entitled to participate in examinations and final examinations of Bachelor's programmes and therefore will not be registered for them.
3. Students who fail an examination at the first attempt are registered automatically for participation in a resit, unless stipulated otherwise in Section B.

Article 4.2 Type of examination

1. Section B stipulates the way in which a unit of study is concluded and what form any examination shall take.
2. The Examinations Board may, at a student's request, permit a different form of examination than stipulated in Section B. Where applicable, detailed rules are provided in the Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board.
3. Where a unit of study is no longer offered, Section B shall include a transitional arrangement.
4. The remaining procedures for examinations and the guidelines and instructions for the assessment and determination of test and examination results are described in the Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board.
5. In the case of units of study including a written examination, the student shall be entitled to receive a sample examination with model answers and information about the actual written examination in terms of its length, type, questions and content.

Article 4.3 Oral examinations

1. Unless otherwise specified for the relevant unit of study in Section B, no more than one student will be examined orally at the same time.
2. Unless determined otherwise by the Examinations Board, a second examiner shall be present at the administration of oral examinations or an audio recording shall be made of the examination.
3. During an oral examination, the examiner compiles a report containing a brief summary of the questions and the student's answers. Alternatively, a sound recording of the examination may be made. The student is not entitled to take away the written report or sound recording at the end of the examination.
4. The further procedures for examinations and the guidelines and instructions for the assessment and determination of test and examination results are described in the Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board.

Article 4.4 Determining and announcing results

1. The examiner shall determine the result (=mark) of a written examination as quickly as possible. Section B sets out a maximum period, however this period shall not exceed twenty working days. The examiner is responsible for ensuring the marking period is observed. The examiner shall submit the necessary information to the Programme Administration, which ensures that the marks are registered immediately. The Programme Administration shall also ensure that the student is immediately notified of the mark, taking due account of the applicable confidentiality standards.
2. The examiner shall determine the result (=mark) of an oral examination immediately upon completion of the examination and will inform the student accordingly. The second sentence of paragraph 1 shall apply in this case.
3. In the case of examination types other than oral or written examinations, the Examinations Board shall determine the manner and period in which the student will be informed of the results in advance.
4. When being informed of the results of an examination, the student shall be informed of their right as referred to in Articles 4.9 and 4.10 to inspect and discuss the examination, as well as the option to appeal to the Examinations Appeals Board.
5. The remaining procedures for examinations and guidelines and directives for the assessment and determination of test and examination results are described in the Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board.
6. A student may lodge an appeal with the Examination Appeals Board against the way in which the result was determined within six weeks of the announcement of the result. If the option of reassessment by the examiner is available, this does not affect the time period for lodging an appeal.

Article 4.5 *Examination opportunities*

1. Per academic year, two opportunities will be offered to take examinations in the degree programme, unless stipulated otherwise in Section B.
2. Paragraph 1 shall not apply to work placements or theses [*optional*: or ...]. The relevant resit options are detailed in the applicable work placement manual or graduation handbook.
3. The most recent mark will apply in the event of a resit.
4. The resit for an examination must take place within a reasonable period following the announcement of the result of the examination being resat. This reasonable period is specified in Section B.
5. Further conditions with regard to resits are included in Section B, where applicable.

Article 4.6 *Marks and other results*

1. Marks are given on a scale from 1 to 10, with a maximum of one decimal.
2. A final mark of 5.5 or above shall be deemed to be a pass.
3. Results that are not expressed in marks are graded in terms of 'requirements met' (in Dutch: AVV) or 'failed to meet the requirements' (in Dutch: NAV), or in terms of a 'pass' (in Dutch: VOL) or a 'fail' (in Dutch: ONV).
4. Students who are registered for an examination or for participation in another type of final assessment, but who do not participate, shall be given the qualification of 'not attended' (in Dutch: NAP).

Article 4.7 *Exemption*

1. At the written request of the student, the Examinations Board may exempt the student from taking one or more examination components.
2. This exemption does not apply to the Bachelor's thesis.
3. The Examinations Board shall make a decision within 20 working days of receiving the request.
4. Further conditions with regard to exemptions are – where applicable – included in Section B and/or the Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board.

Article 4.8 *Validity period for results*

1. The dean may limit the validity period of examinations that a student has passed and of exemptions they have been granted if the knowledge, insight and/or skills that were tested is or are demonstrably obsolete.
2. Section B determines which examinations that a student has passed and which exemptions they have been granted are subject to a limited validity period.
3. Contrary to the provisions of paragraph one, in individual cases the Examinations Board may decide to extend the validity period of an examination that has been passed or an exemption that has been granted.
4. The validity period of an interim examination is limited to the academic year in which it was obtained or until the end of the unit of study in question, as determined in Section B.

Article 4.9 *Right of inspection*

1. For a period of at least 20 working days after the announcement of the results of a written (digital) examination, students shall, at their request, be allowed to inspect their assessed work, the questions and assignments therein and, where possible, the standards upon which the assessment was based.
2. The examiner can determine that the inspection or post-examination discussion referred to in paragraph 1 take place at a specified place and at a specified time. The place and time referred to in the previous sentence will be announced during the examination or in the digital learning environment [link].
3. If the student was or is unable to attend at the place and time referred to in paragraph 2 due to participation in education or *force majeure*, an alternative option will be offered.

4. Students may request a copy of their written examination work within twenty working days following the announcement of the results of the written examination.
5. The right of inspection applies in full to digital examinations.

Article 4.10 *Post-exam discussion*

1. If a collective post-exam discussion has been organised, then an individual post-exam discussion will only take place if the student attended the collective post-exam discussion or was unable to attend the collective post-exam discussion due to *force majeure* or participation in education.
2. Students who meet the requirements stipulated in paragraph 1 may request an individual post-exam discussion from the relevant examiner. The examiner shall determine the time and place of the post-exam discussion.

Article 4.11 *Bachelor's final examination*

1. The Examinations Board shall determine the results and date of the final examination after it has established that the student has passed all of the units of study within the programme.
2. A diploma can only be awarded after the Executive Board has declared that the student has satisfied all the procedural requirements, including the payment of tuition fees.
3. The rules for conferring the designation of cum laude are set out in the Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board.

Article 4.12 *Degree certificate and statement*

1. The Examinations Board shall issue a degree certificate as proof that the student has passed their final examination. The model of the degree certificate is set by the Executive Board. The Examinations Board shall add a diploma supplement to the degree certificate providing insight into the nature and content of the completed programme. The diploma supplement shall be written in Dutch or English and shall comply with the European format.
2. Students who have passed more than one examination and to whom no certificate as referred to in paragraph 1 can be presented may request a statement from the relevant Examinations Board indicating which examinations they have successfully completed, with a description of which modules they relate to, the number of ECTS credits obtained and when they passed the examinations.
3. Students can submit a substantiated request to the Examinations Board to postpone the conferral of the degree certificate within [option: two weeks] of having received notification that they are to be presented with the certificate.

Article 4.13 *Fraud and plagiarism*

1. The provisions set out in the *Fraud and Plagiarism Regulations for UvA Students* shall apply in full.
2. Electronic detection software will be used to detect plagiarism in texts. In submitting a text, a student implicitly consents to the text being entered in the database of such detection software.

5. Honours Programme

Article 5.1 *Honours programme*

Honours Programmes shall be fully governed by the Honours Programme Decision of 11 December 2013. [[besluit Honours](#)] Further provisions in relation thereto, if applicable, are included in Section B.

6. Academic student counselling, advice regarding continuation of studies and study progress*Article 6.1 Study progress administration and academic student counselling*

1. The dean shall be responsible for the correct registration of students' study results in SIS. Once the assessment of a unit of study has been registered in SIS, each student can inspect their results for that unit of study and access an overview of the results obtained in SIS.
2. Enrolled students are entitled to academic student counselling. The types of academic student counselling available are described in Section B.

Article 6.2 Advice regarding continuation of studies

1. As soon as possible after resitting the examinations of the first semester of his/her first year as an enrolled student, and in any event before 1 February, the student shall receive written advice on whether he/she can continue with the programme or not.
2. Students who receive negative advice as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be invited to a meeting to discuss their study method, to reconsider their choice of study and to discuss possible exceptional personal circumstances and a possible referral to another programme.
3. All students enrolled in full-time Bachelor's programmes will receive advice regarding the continuation of their studies from or on behalf of the dean by the end of their first year at the latest.
4. Different periods may apply to students following a part-time programme. Where applicable, these periods shall be mentioned in Section B.
5. If a rejection is linked to the advice issued at the end of the first academic year of enrolment ('negative advice regarding continuation of studies'), the provisions of Article 6.3 shall apply.

Article 6.3 Binding (negative) advice regarding continuation of studies (BSA)

1. The advice issued at the end of the first academic year of enrolment shall be a binding rejection if the student has not achieved the standard required for positive advice regarding continuation of studies. Binding negative advice regarding continuation of studies shall not be issued if the student demonstrates that he/she did not meet the standard as a consequence of personal circumstances, as referred to in Article 6.4. The standard and, if applicable, other criteria on the basis of which binding negative advice may not be issued is/are described in Section B.
2. Credits for exemptions do not count towards a determination of the number of acquired credits as referred to in paragraph 1, unless stipulated otherwise in Section B.
3. In accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 6.2, in the case of intended binding advice regarding the continuation of studies, a student who has not met the standard shall be informed in writing that the dean intends to issue the student with negative binding advice regarding continuation of studies. The same procedure shall apply in the next year of enrolment if the student is permitted to continue with the degree programme as a result of personal circumstances (see Article 6.4), and if he or she has still not successfully met all of the requirements of the first year of study by that time.
4. Along with the information referred to in the previous paragraph, the student shall also be informed of the possibility of being heard by or on behalf of the dean and how he/she can apply for such a hearing.
5. As soon as possible after the hearing, the dean shall determine which students shall receive a binding negative advice regarding the continuation of studies.
6. Students may lodge an appeal with the UvA Examination Appeals Board against a decision in respect of a binding negative advice regarding the continuation of studies within six weeks of the day of the decision's announcement.
7. Students who receive a binding negative advice regarding the continuation of studies may consequently not enrol in the Bachelor's programmes referred to in Article 7.2 of Section B during the following three academic years.
8. Further provisions concerning binding study advice regarding the continuation of studies, if applicable, are included in Section B.

Article 6.4 Personal circumstances

1. The dean shall not include a rejection in the advice regarding the continuation of studies in the case of personal circumstances as a result of which the student concerned cannot have been reasonably expected to have met the BSA standard set.
2. If circumstances, as referred to in paragraph 3, should occur, the student must notify the study adviser as soon as possible, providing details of:
 - a. the period in which the circumstances occurred or continue to occur;
 - b. a description of the circumstances and the severity thereof;
 - c. the extent to which the student cannot or could not participate in instruction/classes or an interim examination.It is the student's responsibility to submit documentary evidence to substantiate his/her notification. The procedure regarding such cases is outlined further in Section B.
3. Within the meaning of this article, only the following are deemed to be personal circumstances:
 - a. illness;
 - b. physical, sensory or other functional impairment of the student;
 - c. pregnancy of the student;
 - d. special family circumstances;
 - e. membership of a university representative advisory council or Programme Committee of the University;
 - f. membership of an assessment panel as referred to in Chapter 5a of the WHW;
 - g. membership of the board of a student organisation appointed by the Minister under the Regulations on the Administrative Provisions for National Graduation Support in Higher Education;
 - h. other personal circumstances determined on an individual basis or other activities as defined in individual cases that are of general social value or in the interests of the University.
4. If the dean should determine that there is a case of personal circumstances within the meaning of this article, the dean shall determine a period that does justice to the nature and seriousness of the student's personal circumstances in which the components of the first year of the degree programme can be successfully completed. The procedure regarding such cases is outlined further in Section B.

Article 6.5 Adaptations for students with a disability

1. Students with a disability may submit a written request to the study adviser for adaptations to courses, practical training and examinations to accommodate their disability. Such adaptations will accommodate the student's individual disability as much as possible, but may not alter the quality or degree of difficulty of a course or examination. In all cases, the student must fulfil the learning outcomes of the programme.
2. A request as referred to in paragraph 1 shall only be taken into consideration if it is accompanied by a recommendation from a student counsellor. This recommendation may be no older than 12 weeks and must be based in part on a recent statement from a physician or psychologist. In the case of a chronic disability, however, the foregoing recommendation need only be provided once.
3. The dean or, on his/her behalf, the College/Graduate School director or the programme director shall decide on adaptations concerning teaching facilities and logistics. The Examinations Board decides on requests for adaptations to examinations.
4. Requests for adaptations will be refused in the event that granting the request would put disproportionate strain on the organisation/resources of the Faculty or University.
5. If a request as referred to in paragraph 1 is approved, the student must make an appointment with the study adviser to discuss the form these facilities will take.
6. If the student's disability necessitates an extension of the time set for completing an examination, the Examinations Board will provide a statement proving the student's entitlement to this extension.
7. The statement referred to in paragraph 6 is valid for a maximum of one year. This validity period may be extended on the recommendation of a student counsellor.

7. Teaching evaluation

Article 7.1 Teaching evaluation

The teaching evaluation shall take place in the manner determined in Section B.

8. Hardship clause

Article 8.1 Hardship clause

In instances not regulated by the Teaching and Examination Regulations or in the event of demonstrable extreme unreasonableness and unfairness, the dean responsible for the degree programme will decide, unless the matter concerned is the responsibility of the Examinations Board.

9. Transitional and final provisions

Article 9.1 Amendments and periodic review of Section A

1. Amendments to Section A of the Teaching and Examination Regulations will be adopted by the dean with due observance of the regulations pursuant to Section 9.5 of the WHW, as adopted by the Executive Board in relation to Section A, and with due observance of the relevant authorities of the representative advisory bodies.
2. Amendments to this section of the Teaching and Examination Regulations pertaining to the current academic year can only be made if they do not demonstrably harm the interests of the students.

Article 9.2 Transitional provisions

Contrary to the provisions of the applicable Teaching and Examination Regulations, students who started their degree programmes under previous Teaching and Examination Regulations will be subject to the transitional arrangements outlined in Section B.

Article 9.3 Publication

1. The dean will ensure proper publication of Sections A and B of these Regulations, as well as all amendments to them.
2. The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall be posted on the faculty website no later than 1 September of the academic year in which they are applicable.

Article 9.4 Effective date

Section A of these Regulations shall enter into force on 1 September 20..

Adopted by the dean

on 20..

Approval and advice of the faculty representative advisory body,

....., dated

....., dated

....., dated

Section B: Programme-specific section

1. General provisions

Article 1.1 Definitions

[a. xxx xxxx

b. xxx xxxx

etc.]

Article 1.2 Degree programme information

1. The degree programme [...] – CROHO number [...] – is offered on a [*optional*: full-time, part-time, combined work-study] basis and the language of instruction is [*optional*: Dutch/English].

[1a *optional*: The part-time variant has a nominal study duration of [...] years.]

[1b *optional*: This programme is offered in partnership with [the] University and leads to a joint degree.]

[only for programmes that take part in the flexible learning pilot programme]

Article 1.2a Flexible learning

The programme takes part in the flexible learning pilot, for which permission for participation was granted by the Minister of Education, Science and Culture, in accordance with Section 17k of the Decree regarding experiments in flexible higher education (*Besluit experimenten flexibel hoger onderwijs*). The Flexible Learning Pilot regulations of the UvA, which are part of the Enrolment Provisions of the UvA, shall apply to the foregoing.

Article 1.3 Main subjects

The programme includes the following specialisations:

2. Programme objectives and exit qualifications

Article 2.1 Programme objective

The programme aims to:

Article 2.2 Exit qualifications

In any case, a graduate of the degree programme shall have:

1. knowledge and understanding in the field of

[2. *optional*: Without prejudice to the provisions in paragraph 1, graduates of the major X shall have

- knowledge and understanding in the field of ...

- ...

etc.]

3. Further admission requirements

Article 3.1 Additional previous education requirements

1. For admission to the programme, a pre-university (VWO) diploma is required in the following subject cluster [*optional*: and the following additional requirements apply]:

[pre-university subject cluster Culture & Society / Economy & Society / Nature & Health / Science & Technology];

[[*optional*: additional requirements:

.....].

2. *[optional]* Prospective students who do not meet the previous education requirements, but who have passed the propaedeutic examination of a higher professional education programme, shall be admitted to the degree programme.
or Prospective students who do not meet the previous education requirements, but who have successfully completed the propaedeutic examination of a higher professional education programme and submit the following diplomas or certificates:

 may also gain admission to the programme.
or Students who do not meet the previous education requirements, but who have successfully completed the propaedeutic examination of a higher professional education programme, can request a Statement of Admission from the dean if they have successfully completed one or more of the following tests:

3. Students who do not meet the previous education requirements can access the programme by successfully completing one or more of the following tests:

[For selective degree programmes only:

4. Furthermore, the following further admission requirements / the following admissions procedure shall apply:]

Article 3.2 Entrance examination

1. The entrance examination, referred to in Article 2.3 (Section A), relates to the following subjects at pre-university school-leaving examination (VWO) level:

2. The proof that the entrance examination (*colloquium doctum*) has been passed shall only provide entitlement to admission to the intended programme or programmes for the academic year after the examination was taken.

Article 3.3 Dutch-language requirements for Dutch-language Bachelor's programmes

A student whose previous education was not in a Dutch-speaking country must demonstrate that he/she has sufficient proficiency in Dutch in order to follow academic higher education successfully. This requirement can be met through the successful completion of one of the following examinations:

- [optional:* - the state examination for Dutch as a Second Language, examination II (NT2 II);
 - CNaVT (Certificate in Dutch as a Foreign Language) PAT and PTHO examinations;
 - foreign examinations that included Dutch, designated by the UvA.]

or

Article 3.3b English-language requirements for English-language Bachelor's programmes

1. The proficiency requirement in English as the language of instruction can be met by the successful completion of one of the following examinations or an equivalent:
 - IELTS: 6.5;
 - TOEFL paper-based test: 580;
 - TOEFL Internet-based test: 92-93;
 - Cambridge Advanced English: A, B or C.
 The foregoing examination must have been taken within two years before the student's enrolment.
2. *[optional]* An exemption from the English examination referred to in the first paragraph shall be granted to students who:
 - had previous education in secondary or tertiary education in one of the following English-speaking countries: Australia, Canada, New Zealand, Ireland, the United Kingdom or the United States of America;
 - hold an English-language 'international baccalaureate' diploma;

third year							
name of unit of study	code of unit of study	number of credits	period or semester	teaching method	Compulsory attendance/participation	assessment format	level

Article 4.5 Free curriculum

1. Subject to certain conditions, students have the option to compile a curriculum of their own choice, which deviates from the curricula stipulated by the degree programme.
 2. The composition of this curriculum must first be approved by the most relevant Examinations Board.
 3. The free curriculum will be compiled by the student from among the units of study offered by the University of Amsterdam and must possess at least the scale, breadth and depth of a regular Bachelor's programme. It is the student's responsibility to ensure that the proposed programme will allow entry to at least one Master's degree programme. The student is not obliged to actually pursue that Master's programme.
- [optional: 4. To be eligible for a Bachelor's degree, the following conditions must be satisfied at the very least:
- a. The student has obtained at least ... ECTS credits from the regular study programme.
 - b. The free curriculum comprises at least ... ECTS credits at the post-propaedeutic level.]

[optional: 4.6 Practical exercise]

name of unit of study	code of unit of study	number of credits	period or semester	teaching method	Compulsory attendance/participation	assessment format	level

Article 4.7 Sequence of examinations

1. The examinations [or practical exercises] of the following components cannot be taken until after the student has passed the examination or the examinations of the specified components:
 after passing
 after passing and.....
 Etc.
- [2. optional: Within the post-propaedeutic phase [for majors X, Y, ...], there is a/no prescribed sequence for participating in the curriculum of the different parts of that phase.]
3. The student may only participate in the interim or other examinations or the practical exercises of the post-propaedeutic courses mentioned below if he/she has passed the interim or other examinations of the following examination components:
 [for major X]
 ...
 ...
 [for major Y]
 ...
 ...

In exceptional cases, the Examinations Board may, at the student's reasoned request, depart from this sequence, with or without stipulating conditions.

Article 4.8 Further conditions for participation in units of study and examinations

1. Students must register for participation in units of study for which where students are not automatically registered. Registration in such cases can only take place during specifically designated periods. The registration periods and procedures for a unit of study shall be established by the dean by 1 June and shall be published on [link].
2. Students who do not wish to sit an examination for which they have registered must terminate this registration as follows ...

Article 4.9 Further conditions for examination opportunities and collective post-exam discussion

Examination results are subject to the following additional conditions:

.....
.....

[optional: Article 4.10 Participation in practical exercises and tutorials

1. In the case of a practical training, students shall be required to attend [*optional: at least ...% of*] the practical sessions. Should the student attend less than ... % of practical sessions, he/she must repeat the practical training, or the Examinations Board may have one or more supplementary assignments issued.
2. In the event of tutorials with assignments, the student must attend at least ...% of the tutorial sessions. Should the student attend less than ... %, he/she must repeat the tutorial, or the Examinations Board may have one or more supplementary assignments issued.
3. In exceptional circumstances, the Examinations Board may, at the request of the student, allow for an exemption from this requirement if, in the opinion of the Board, the assessment of the intended skills is also possible with a diminished percentage of participation, with or without the imposition of supplementary requirements.]

Article 4.11 Further conditions for exemption

[optional: 1. A maximum of ... ECTS of the curriculum may be obtained through granted exemptions.

2. With regard to exemptions, the following shall also apply:.....]

Article 4.12 – Validity period of results

1 The validity period of the examinations and examination exemptions below is limited as follows:

.....
.....

Article 4.13 Degree

Students who have passed the final examination and met all other legal requirements will be awarded the degree of Bachelor of [*optional: Arts/Science/Laws*], abbreviated to [BA, BSc and LLB]. The degree awarded shall be stated on the degree certificate. If this is a joint degree, then this will be stated on the degree certificate.

5. Electives

5.1. Minors

Students may follow one of the following minors:

name of unit of study	code of unit of study	number of credits	period or semester	teaching method	Compulsory attendance/participation	assessment format	level

5.2. Electives

Students may follow one of the following units of study as part of the electives:

name of unit of study	code of unit of study	number of credits	period or semester	teaching method	Compulsory attendance/participation	assessment format	level

5.3 Other electives

[optional] If the student wishes to follow a different unit of study than that stipulated in Article 5.1 or 5.2, prior permission must be obtained in writing from the Examinations Board.

6. Honours Programme

Article 6.1 Honours programme

1. The Graduate School director shall invite students who meet the entrance requirements to take part in the selection procedure for the Honours Programme. Students shall follow this programme in addition to the regular study programme of the Bachelor's degree programme.
2. The Honours Programme consists of 30 ECTS.
3. Students who:
 - meet the requirements of the regular Bachelor's degree programme within the official duration of the Bachelor's programme; and
 - have achieved at least an average (weighted) mark of 7.5 for all components of the Bachelor's programme and have also met the requirements of the Honours Programme shall receive a Bachelor's degree certificate containing a statement that demonstrates that they completed the Honours programme successfully.

Article 6.2 Honours Programme admission requirements

1. To be admitted to the Honours Programme, students must have obtained all the credits of the first year or, upon entry during the second semester of the first year, all credits of the units of study referred to in Section B, and must have achieved a weighted average of 7.5 or above.
2. In order to prevent unfairness in the application of these requirements, the College/Graduate School director may deviate from the selection criteria. [possibly include additional conditions]
3. The number of participants, the selection procedure and the additional selection criteria for the Honours Programme shall be as follows: [optional]
 - ...
 - ...

4. At the student's reasoned request, the Examinations Board may deviate from the selection criteria for the Honours Programme and may determine that a student may participate in the programme.

<i>Article 6.3 Content of Honours Programme</i>							
The Honours programme consists of the following components:							
name of unit of study	code of unit of study	number of credits	period or semester	teaching method	Compulsory attendance/participation	assessment format	level

7. Academic student counselling and advice regarding continuation of studies

Article 7.1 Academic student counselling

1. The academic student counselling for this programme consists of:
 (e.g. tutors, mentors, study advisers, etc.)

Article 7.2 Binding (negative) study advice

1. In order to obtain positive advice regarding continuation of studies, the student must have obtained at least [42 or 48] ECTS by the end of the first year of enrolment for the propaedeutic phase of the programme.
- [2. *optional*: The quality requirements to meet the standard for positive advice regarding continuation of studies are:
]
3. Students who have not achieved the standard for positive advice regarding continuation of studies by the end of the first year of enrolment, but have obtained written permission from or on behalf of the dean to continue the programme, will again receive advice at the end of the subsequent academic year, taking account of the standard of 60 ECTS.
4. Students who receive binding negative advice regarding the continuation of studies may therefore not enrol in the following Bachelor's programmes offered by the faculty for the subsequent three academic years: ...
- [5. *optional*: Credits for granted exemptions shall count towards the total number of acquired credits as referred to in paragraph 1.]
- [6. *optional*: Different periods may apply to students following a part-time programme. Advice regarding the continuation of studies shall be issued at the end of ...]
7. It is the student's responsibility to submit documentary evidence to substantiate his/her notification concerning personal circumstances. The relevant procedure is as follows:

8. Teaching evaluation

Article 8.1 Teaching evaluation

Teaching evaluation shall take place as follows:
 [optional] 'by UvA Q' (or) [option] 'by the sounding board groups organised by the Programme Committee.'

9. Transitional and final provisions

Article 9.1 Amendments and periodic review (Section B)

1. Amendments to Section B of the Teaching and Examination Regulations shall be adopted by the dean following approval and consultation of the representative advisory bodies of the Faculty.
2. Amendments to Section B of the Teaching and Examination Regulations shall require the approval of the Faculty Student Council for components that do not relate to the subject matter of Section 7.13, subsection 2 under a – g and v of the WHW. 3. An amendment of Section B of the Teaching and Examination Regulations shall require the approval of the Programme Committee for the components that relate to the subject matter of Section 7.13, subsection 2 under a1 through e, g and v of the WHW. The Programme Committee shall retain the right to be consulted regarding other components.
4. Amendments to the Teaching and Examination Regulations may only pertain to an academic year that is already in progress if it can be demonstrated that this does not harm the interests of students.

Article 9.2 Transitional provisions

Contrary to the provisions of the applicable Teaching and Examination Regulations, students who started their degree programmes under previous Teaching and Examination Regulations will be subject to the following transitional arrangements:

.....
.....

Article 9.3 Publication

1. The dean will ensure proper publication of Sections A and B of these Regulations, as well as all amendments to them.
2. The Teaching and Examination Regulations will be published on the Faculty's website and are to be included in the Course Catalogue.

Article 9.4 Effective date

Section B of these Regulations shall enter into force on 1 September 201..

Adopted by the dean
on 20..

Approval and advice of the faculty representative advisory body,
....., dated
....., dated
....., dated

Explanatory notes to the Model Teaching and Examination Regulations for BACHELOR's degree programmes

General

Since the introduction of the Dutch Higher Education and Research Act (WHW) in 1993, the key elements of the curriculum and examinations for each degree programme must be established in Teaching and Examination Regulations (OER). Pursuant to Section 7.13, subsection 1, of the WHW, the OER contains adequate and clear information on the degree programme or group of programmes. Section 7.13, subsection 2, of the WHW lists the subjects and components that must be organised and established under the applicable procedures, rights and obligations for each programme or group of programmes in relation to the teaching and the examinations. The WHW also defines a number of other matters to be regulated in the OER. Appendix I to these explanatory notes includes a list of the relevant articles of the OER and the corresponding sections of the WHW.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 9.38 under b of the WHW, the Faculty Student Council shall in any case have the right of approval regarding the adoption and amendment of the Teaching and Examination Regulations in relation to the subjects referred to in Section 7.13, subsection 2, under h through u, of the WHW. The Faculty Student Council is also free to provide unsolicited advice in respect of the other subjects.

As of 1 September 2017, the Programme Committees shall have the right of approval regarding the adoption and amendment of the Teaching and Examination Regulations in relation to the subjects referred to in Section 7.13, subsection 2, under a1 through e, g and v, of the WHW. The Programme Committee shall have the right to be consulted regarding subjects for which it does not have right of approval.

These explanatory notes indicate whether right of approval from the Faculty Student Council or Programme Committee is required for each of the articles.

The model OER is divided into two components (Section A and Section B) that together make up the OER. Section A, which may be considered as the Faculty section, includes provisions that may apply to multiple programmes. Section B includes provisions that are programme-specific. Although these two components are parts of a whole and together make up the Teaching and Examination Regulations of a degree programme or a group of programmes, they may be amended separately from one another.

In terms of its structure, the model OER contained in this document has been adopted by the Executive Board as an official 'guideline to deans' (hereafter 'official guideline'), as defined in Section 9.5 of the WHW. Section 9.5 of the WHW stipulates that the Executive Board may adopt official guidelines regarding the organisation and coordination of the implementation of the powers referred to in Sections 9.14, subsection 3 and 9.15, subsection 1, of the WHW. Section 9.15, subsection 1, establishes the adoption of the OER as one of the powers of the dean. If the Executive Board has invoked its authority to establish an official guideline on a certain subject in the OER, then no deviation is permitted from that guideline. The Central Student Council shall have right of approval regarding the adoption of an official guideline under Section 9.5 of the WHW if that guideline relates to a topic that would have been subject to right of approval from the faculty representative advisory body under the WHW. The faculty representative advisory body's right of approval/right to be consulted shall be forfeited for subjects for which a guideline has been adopted.

The model contains five kinds of provisions.

Most of its articles cover topics that must be regulated in an OER, pursuant to Section 7.13 or other provisions of the WHW. These texts are 'boxed' in this document. If not fixed as official guidelines (see next paragraph), however, their wording may be amended as long as the topic concerned is still properly regulated within the prescribed structure.

The articles that have been placed in a box and shaded in grey indicate that the relevant subject should be regulated and organised this way, as an official guideline is concerned. These official guidelines are adopted by the Executive Board, with due observance of the authorities of the representative advisory bodies on the matter. The dean may not deviate from these procedures. Appendix II provides a list of the official guidelines that are relevant to this model OER, including the date upon which the decision was adopted as well as the date of entry into force.

Articles that are not applicable to all degree programmes are preceded by the word 'optional' in brackets. *[optional]* Optional sections of text are also enclosed in square brackets.

The double-boxed articles are sections that are direct excerpts from the WHW or arise directly from the WHW. These articles have been included for the sake of the readability of the OER and with a view to providing clear and adequate information. These articles are not governed by right of approval.

The remaining, unboxed, articles are items that are not governed by specific inclusion in the OER by the WHW, nor have been adopted as official guidelines. In part, these relate to topics that are regulated in the WHW, but for which there is no explicit indication that these subjects should be included in the OER. It is recommended that these articles be included in the OER as they appear in the model.

If necessary, additional provisions may be added to regulate aspects specific to the programme in question. In that case, however, the structure and numbering system of the model OER should be maintained as far as possible. Ideally, such articles should be added at the end of an existing chapter and continue the numbering sequence of the last existing article. If provisions must be placed between existing articles, avoid disrupting the sequence by using a suffix letter (e.g. Article 1.1a). Consistency of numbering in all our OERs aids transparency and improves quality assurance.

Notes on specific articles

Section A

Chapter 1 – General provisions*Article 1.1 – Applicability of the Regulations*

Section A may be considered as the faculty section. This article indicates to which degree programme or which group of programmes the section applies. In combination with various components of Section B, this section may constitute multiple OERs. Section B includes provisions that are programme-specific. The specification of the responsible faculty is based on the legal principle that education and research should take place at the faculty (Section 9.12 of the WHW) and that programmes are established within a faculty (Sections 9.11 and 9.17 of the WHW).

Article 1.2 – Definitions

The authors of the model OER have chosen to have the definitions used in the OER align with the terminology of the WHW as much as possible. In order to promote consistency within the UvA, these definitions should not be deviated from.

Chapter 2 – Previous education and Admission*Article 2.1 and 2.2 – (Equivalent) previous education*

Section 7.14 of the WHW includes the principle rule. The education entry requirement for a Bachelor's degree programme in higher education at research universities is the possession of a pre-university (VWO) diploma.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 7.28, subsection 1a, of the WHW, prospective students who hold a degree certificate for a propaedeutic examination that they have passed successfully at an institution for higher education may also be admitted.

The pre-university (VWO) curriculum distinguishes between four subject clusters, of which the content is outlined in compulsory subjects and electives. In this regard, admission to higher education is independently organised for each programme as follows:

- first of all, it is determined which subject cluster (or clusters) should automatically give admission to that programme;
- second, there may be one or more subject clusters that may also result in admission, provided that the prospective student has followed one or two specific subjects in the optional subjects of their school-leaving examination. Should these subjects be absent, then the prospective student must be tested regarding those subjects before starting the university degree programme.

The following can be derived from the Higher Education Applications and Admissions Regulations for the individual programmes:

- 1) which subject clusters result in automatic admission;
- 2) which subject clusters result in admission on the condition that certain subjects have been included in the school-leaving examination.

Prospective students who have not taken the VWO examination by way of the preferred subject clusters may nevertheless be admitted provided they are able to demonstrate equivalent knowledge at an admission assessment. This means that they should be assessed on the compulsory subjects of a subject cluster that results in automatic admission to the programme in question. This assessment must be completed prior to the start of the degree programme. The requirements applied by the faculty for such admission assessments must be laid down in the OER pursuant to Section 7.25, subsection 5, of the WHW. By reference to Section 7.28, subsection 3, of the WHW, these

requirements shall also apply to any prospective students with previous education other than VWO (with an appropriate subject cluster) who are admitted to the relevant programme.

Prospective students who wish to be enrolled in a Dutch-language Bachelor's programme based on a foreign diploma must, prior to enrolment, have demonstrated sufficient command of the Dutch language by having passed the NT2-II examination, or demonstrate that they have been exempted from having to take this examination by the director of the Institute for Dutch Language Education (INTT) on the basis of their previous education. In special cases, the Examinations Board of the relevant programme may grant an exemption from this requirement prior to enrolment. Dutch nationals with previous education in a foreign country and other Dutch native speakers (such as persons from the Netherlands Antilles and Flanders) may, for example, be admitted without assessment.

Article 2.3 – Entrance examination

Prospective students who do not hold a pre-university (VWO) diploma or any other equivalent diploma, aged 21 and above, may be admitted following an entrance examination (so-called *colloquium doctum*). The requirements that are used for this examination must be laid down in the OER (Section 7.29, subsection 2, of the WHW). It would seem logical that those requirements should align as much as possible with those for a VWO subject cluster that would result in direct admission to the programme.

The age limit of 21 may be deviated from with regard to prospective students from abroad with a diploma issued outside of the Netherlands, if this diploma would provide admission to higher education in the relevant country.

The decision following a student successfully passing the entrance examination is programme-specific and only results in admission to the programme for which the admission assessment was designed.

No right of appeal: flows directly from provisions of the WHW.

Article 2.4 – Refusal or termination of enrolment/iudicium abeundi

Section 7.42a of the WHW stipulates that, in exceptional cases, a student's enrolment in a degree programme may be terminated or refused if that student's actions or remarks show that they are unsuitable either for practising one or more of the professions for which the programme trains students or for the practical preparation for professional practice. This option is only available in highly exceptional circumstances.

The Dutch Federation of University Medical Centres (NFU) has drafted an *Iudicium Abeundi* Protocol as a (procedural) tool to assist in the consultation and preparation of the decision-making process of the Executive Board with regard to the termination or refusal of the enrolment of a student within the Medicine, Dentistry and Veterinary Medicine degree programmes. This protocol may also serve as a tool for other faculties.

Right of appeal: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Chapter 3 – Structure of the degree programme

Article 3.1 Structure of the academic year

1. Regarding the structure of the academic year, we would refer to the Decision regarding the Academic calendar for education of 22 May 2014, reference code 2014cb0200. This structure outlines in what periods education, examinations and examination resits should take place.

UvA Teaching and Examination Regulations for Bachelor's programmes

Students are entitled to 12 contact hours per week in the first academic year.

Right of approval: *paragraph one: none*
 paragraph two: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted regarding paragraph two: Programme Committee

Article 3.2 Structure of the degree programme and participation in education activities

The starting point is that the curriculum should be laid down in the OER. The Course Catalogue may be consulted for a more detailed description of the components.

Right of approval: Programme Committee

Chapter 4 – Assessment and examinations

Article 4.1 Participation in examinations

This article sets out the procedure that registration for courses also entails registration for participation in the examination. It is recommended that the rules regarding participation in education activities and examinations be brought to the attention of the students more closely before the start of the academic year.

Students who are only enrolled for one or more Master's programmes are prohibited from taking examinations of Bachelor's programmes. Given the sharp distinction between Bachelor's and Master's programmes, students should be enrolled in a Bachelor's programme in order to take the examinations in a Bachelor's programme.

The third paragraph stipulates that students who have failed to achieve a passing mark for the first opportunity of the examination will be registered for participation in the resit automatically. Section B may set out further requirements for (automatic) registration for resits.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.2 Type of examination

This article is a reference to the further detailing of the way in which a unit of study is concluded and what assessment format is used, as set out in Section B of the OER.

The fifth paragraph of this article stipulates that, in the case of units of study including a written examination, students are entitled to receive a sample examination with model answers and information about the actual written examination in terms of its length, type, questions and content. This sample examination and any other information on the examination can be disseminated during the lectures.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.3 Oral examinations

To ensure fairness for students and to prevent any inappropriate conduct, it is recommended that oral examinations be administered by two examiners or that an audio recording be made of the examination. Additional rules on the procedures for oral examinations may be laid down in the Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.4 Determining and announcing results

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under o, of the WHW, reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least set out the period within which the results of an examination will be announced, and the circumstances in which this period may be exceeded, if at all.'

This model article distinguishes between oral and other examinations and is based on the student's right to have their examination results determined as soon as possible. The assessment should be announced to the student within 20 working days after the examination was administered or the essay was submitted. This is what the Executive Board has agreed upon with the Central Student Council and the deans. In the case of an imminent resit, the results must be announced at least ten working days before such a resit, with a view to providing sufficient preparation time for the students.

Pursuant to Section 3:45 of the General Administrative Law Act, the announcement of the results (on SIS) should be paired with a notification of the option to appeal those results before the Examination Appeals Board (within six weeks after announcement). It is recommended that students be informed that, rather than seek to resolve administrative errors via an appeals process at the Examination Appeals Board, they should bring such issues to the attention of the Student Desk.

The determination of the results of examinations is the sole responsibility of the examiner of the course component.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.5 Examination opportunities

The 2010 UvA Assessment Policy Framework, which was drafted in part in order to guarantee the university level of graduates at the UvA, stipulates that the Executive Board should stimulate a teaching and assessment culture that expresses a 'now or never' philosophy. As such, the Assessment Policy Framework stipulates that each curriculum component shall have no more than one resit opportunity per academic year. This means that, in addition to the initial examination opportunity, students are offered one resit opportunity before the beginning of the next academic year. Specific requirements may be put in place for taking a resit.

Work placements and theses (and any other final essays) may be exempted from the resit opportunity.

By way of an official guideline under Section 9.5 of the WHW, the Executive Board has also determined that the most recent examination result shall count, rather than the result that achieved the highest mark. This means that any result obtained previously will be superseded, even if that result was a pass. Current practice at some programmes, which only permit resits for results that have not obtained a passing mark, does not conflict with the foregoing.

Article 4.5, paragraph 3: no right of approval: guideline under Section 9.5 of the WHW

For the rest:

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.6 Marks and other results

Partly for the sake of the interchangeability of the components within the university, each test or assessment must yield an unambiguous assessment about whether a student has 'passed or failed'. To that end, the Executive Board, by way of an official guideline under Section 9.5 of the WHW, has determined that a 5.5 or above should be considered a pass mark throughout the university. In order to prevent rounding off errors (e.g. from 5.45 to 5.5), no marks are awarded with two decimal places after the decimal point.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.7 – Exemption

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under r, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down the conditions under which the Examinations Board may exempt students from taking one or more examinations on the basis of examinations or final examinations that the student has already passed in higher education or for knowledge and skills acquired outside higher education.'

It is recommended that the number of (ECTS for) exemptions be capped and that it be determined that students may only be awarded a diploma from the UvA if they have indeed followed a substantial portion of the programme at the UvA.

Diplomas cannot be issued solely on the merit of exemptions.

In addition, it may be determined that there should be no possibility for exemption for the Bachelor's thesis or for other final essays, if they constitute an essential component of the final examination.

Upon submitting a request for exemption, students should be informed of the situation in a timely fashion. After all, if their request is rejected, they will still have to follow the subject for which they requested exemption. As such, the decision period for an exemption request may be shorter than for other applications to the Examinations Board.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.8 – Validity period of results

As of 1 September 2017, Section 7.10, subsection 4, of the WHW reads as follows:

The institutional management may limit the validity period of examinations a student has passed, subject to the Examinations Board's authority to extend the validity period in individual cases. The validity period of examinations a student has passed and of examination exemptions may only be limited if the knowledge, insight and/or skills tested is or are demonstrably obsolete. The institutional management shall establish further rules regarding the implementation of this paragraph and the manner in which the limitation of the validity period reasonably takes into account the special circumstances within the meaning of Section 7.51, subsection 2. The validity period of examinations that have been passed successfully shall in special circumstances, within the meaning of Section 7.51, subsection 2, be extended with the duration of the financial support granted under Section 7.51, subsection 1.

Following the foregoing amendment to the WHW, the validity period of results for examinations a student has passed may only be limited if it can be demonstrated that the knowledge or insight that was tested was obsolete or if the skills that were tested were obsolete.

It is plain that this would be demonstrable for subjects (or subject clusters) in which the education results in very up-to-date knowledge, insights and skills. The dean shall have the responsibility of demonstrating that there is indeed a case of demonstrably obsolete knowledge or insights. For subjects where there is no instance of tested knowledge or insight being demonstrably obsolete, the validity period of the results of examinations passed successfully shall in principle be unlimited. The Examinations Board will continue to retain the power to extend the validity period of courses for individual cases and should seek to achieve alignment with the period in which a student may claim support from the Profiling Fund.

The term examinations in this case shall also include other units of study.

No right of approval: flows directly from provisions of the WHW.

Article 4.9 – Right of inspection

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under p, of the WHW reads:

‘The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down how and within what period a person who has taken a written examination may obtain access to their assessed work.’

A period of 20 days is generally regarded as a reasonable period of time.

The student will be entitled to a copy of their work, inter alia with a view to submitting an appeal with the Examination Appeals Board. Students may be charged no fee other than for the direct costs of the copy.

Students’ right to inspection shall also apply in full to digital examinations.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.10 – Post-exam discussion

The provisions of this article are based on Section 7.13, subsection 2, under q of the WHW, which reads:

‘The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down the manner and period in which questions and assignments that formed part of a written examination and the standards on which the examination was assessed may be inspected.’

This provision is based on the essential principle that students gain knowledge of the questions and model answers in order to gain insight into the extent and gravity of the requirements of the examination.

Although the inspection and post-exam discussion generally take place in a plenary session, it is vital that the student is given the opportunity to receive individual *feedback* from the examiner on the work they have submitted. This feedback may be given at a pre-arranged place and time, such as following the plenary inspection and discussion session. In the event of *force majeure* resulting in a student being unable to attend the pre-arranged place and time, that student will be afforded another inspection and post-exam discussion opportunity at another time, if possible.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.11 – Bachelor's final examination

The Examinations Board shall make an objective and expert determination of whether students have fulfilled the criteria in respect of the knowledge, insight and skills needed to obtain a degree. The Examinations Board shall guarantee the quality of the examinations and final examinations and shall lay down guidelines and instructions for the assessment and marking of examinations and final examinations, in line with the Teaching and Examination Regulations. This shall include more detailed rules on the granting of annotations.

The legal provisions on graduation read as follows

(Section 7.10, subsections 1 and 2, of the WHW):

1. *An interim exam represents an investigation by the examiner into student's knowledge, insight and skills, together with an assessment of the results of that investigation.*
2. *If the examinations for units of study that belong to a degree programme or the first year of a Bachelor's programme have been successfully completed, the final examination has been taken, insofar as the Examinations Board has not determined that the final examination also consists of an investigation that it must conduct as referred to in the first paragraph.*

The second paragraph of this provision determines that the final examination in principle is the sum of the examinations that have been passed successfully. The Examinations Board may determine that the final examination also consist of an investigation into the knowledge, insight and skills of the student, conducted by itself. The Examinations Board may only proceed with such actions if this possibility has been explicitly laid down in the Teaching and Examination Regulations. After all, this may not result in students being caught off guard. This would conflict with the requirement of good testing and examination conditions.

(Section 7.11, subsection 2, first sentence, of the WHW):

As proof that a degree programme has been successfully completed, the Examinations Board awards a degree certificate after the institutional management has declared that all procedural requirements for the award of a degree certificate have been met.

The Examinations Board shall in principle determine the date of graduation and of the degree ceremony. As a rule, this shall be the last working day of the month in which all obligations have been fulfilled.

The law does provide for postponement of graduation for students who stand to benefit from deferral, for example because they wish to follow an additional subject which they wish to have included on their degree certificate. The Examinations Board shall make a decision on whether to grant postponement based on a reasoned application by the student.

No right of appeal: flows directly from provisions of the WHW.

Article 4.12 – Degree certificates and statements

Section 7.11, subsection 2, of the WHW stipulates that the Examinations Board shall issue a degree certificate as proof that the student has passed their final examination. The degree certificate should state which degree programme the student followed as listed in the CROHO register, which courses comprised the final examination and which degree has been awarded. One degree certificate is awarded for each degree programme.

Where applicable, the degree certificate should state which qualifications correspond to the final examination, e.g. the so-called professional requirements (e.g. with regard to the profession of a teacher, doctor or dentist).

Section 7.11, subsection 4, of the WHW stipulates that the Examinations Board should append a supplement to a diploma. The aim of the diploma supplement is to provide insight into the nature and content of the completed degree programme, in part with a view to the international recognition of degree programmes. The supplement should in any case state the names of the degree programme

and the institution providing the programme, whether the degree programme relates to higher education at a research university or higher vocational education, a description of the content of the study programme and the workload of the programme. The WHW prescribes that the supplement should meet the standards of the European standard format. No deviation is permitted in this regard under the WHW.

No right of approval: flows directly from provisions of the WHW.

Article 4.13 Fraud and plagiarism

Given the importance of uniform regulations regarding fraud and plagiarism, the Executive Board established the Regulations governing Fraud and Plagiarism for UvA students as a guideline under Section 9.5 of the WHW. This means that, as of the academic year of 2008 – 2009, the deans have been required to include the Regulations in full in the Teaching and Examination Regulations. The Regulations were drafted and introduced at the express request of the Central Student Council to ensure legal equality and fairness for the imposition of penalties in cases of fraud.

Section 7.12b, subsection 2, of the WHW provides for a maximum penalty of exclusion from participation in the examinations for a maximum period of one year for cases of fraud.

The WHW provides for the possibility of the Executive Board to definitively terminate the enrolment of regular or external students at the recommendation of the Examinations Board in cases of serious fraud. This may be proposed by the Examinations Board after the Examinations Board has already imposed the maximum penalty itself.

No right of approval: guideline under Section 9.5 of the WHW

Chapter 5 – Honours Programme

Article 5.1 – Honours programme

Section 7.9b of the WHW reads:

- 1. If institutional management within a degree programme should offer a special track that is aimed at achieving a higher level of knowledge in students, the institutional management may select students for that track.*
- 2. The institutional management shall lay down rules with regard to the selection referred to in paragraph 1.*

Article 5.1 exclusively refers to the Honours Programme Decision adopted by the Executive Board on 11 December 2013. Section B may outline further provisions for the regulation of the Honours Programme.

The criteria for a UvA Honours diploma are laid down in the foregoing Honours Programme Decision. This Decision stipulates that the Honours Programme is a track in which education is offered at a higher level, which matches the qualities of the Honours students and in which it is expected that the students should excel. The programme is intended for students who obtained 60 ECTS in the first year of the Bachelor's degree programme and have achieved a grade point average of at least 7.5 or above. In addition, the Examinations Board may admit a student to the Honours Programme based on their individual performance. The Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board of the programme may include further provisions to regulate the awarding of an Honours diploma.

Chapter 6 – Academic student counselling, advice regarding continuation of studies and study progress

Article 6.1 Study progress administration and academic student counselling

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under u, of the WHW reads:

‘The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions for monitoring study progress and individual academic student counselling.’

SIS allows student to review a list of the results they have obtained at any time.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 6.22 – Advice regarding continuation of studies

Section 7.8b of the WHW stipulates that each student should, before the end of their first year of enrolment within the propaedeutic phase, receive advice ‘on the continuation of their studies within or outside the Bachelor’s programme’. This advice regarding the continuation of studies must be issued to all first-year students before 1 September. If a Bachelor’s programme only consists of a propaedeutic phase and no longer has a final propaedeutic examination, then this advice on the continuation of studies of the Bachelor’s programme must nevertheless be issued in the same way.

The initial provisional advice regarding the continuation of studies must be issued before 1 February, in order to give students the opportunity to terminate their enrolment by that date. Upon receiving this interim provisional advice, students will be explicitly pointed to the fact that they should consult the academic study adviser if they are experiencing personal circumstances that may affect their study results.

When the written advice regarding continuation of studies is issued in the second semester, students must expressly be pointed to the possibility of consulting the study adviser or another designated person to discuss the advice they have received.

Right of approval: none

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 6.3 – Binding (negative) study advice

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 7.8b, subsection 3, of the WHW, the advice regarding continuation of studies may constitute a binding rejection. This rejection may only be issued if *‘the student, in the opinion of the institutional management, with due observance of their personal circumstances, should be regarded as unsuitable for the programme, due to their study results failing to meet the requirements and criteria put in place in this regard by the Board. The institutional management may put a time period in place for the rejection. The institutional management may extend the rejection to programmes that share the propaedeutic exam with the relevant programme.’*

A student may only be rejected if their study results do not meet the requirements of the BSA standard that has been established. Other factors shall not constitute grounds for rejection. Prior to issuing binding negative advice regarding the continuation of studies, the relevant student must have been warned by way of the interim provisional advice issued. This advice, which is based on the registered study results of the first semester, is not binding, but does give the student a warning in the case of insufficient study progress, giving the student the opportunity to improve their performance in the interim. The WHW places an obligation on giving the student an opportunity to be heard, which must be fulfilled before binding study advice is decided on.

It is vital that a realistic timeline be put in place when giving an opportunity to the student to be heard and for the issuing of the advice. This timeline should take into account the student’s option of

appealing against the negative binding study advice to the Examination Appeals Board. This appeal should be able to be processed before the start of the new academic year.

In order to prevent abuse (such as terminating enrolment in a programme and enrolling in another programme at the UvA that allows electives to be followed within the programme for which the student has terminated their enrolment), the model OER includes paragraph 6. Paragraph 6 stipulates that students who terminate their enrolment in Law, for example, but re-enrol in another programme at the UvA (e.g. History), cannot circumvent the negative binding advice regarding the continuation of studies if they have obtained less than 30 ECTS of the Bachelor's degree programme in Law. Students who have received a rejection may, pursuant to provisions of Section 7.8b, subsection 5, no longer be enrolled at the UvA in the same programme either as a regular or as an external student. Section 7.8b, subsection 3, however, makes it possible to extend the negative binding study advice to programmes that share the propaedeutic exam or the propaedeutic phase with the relevant programme. Furthermore, the WHW allows negative binding study advice to be issued in conjunction with a time period.

Under Section 7.61, subsection 1, under a, of the WHW, students may lodge an appeal against a decision on binding negative advice regarding the continuation of studies with the Examination Appeals Board within six weeks.

Right of approval: -

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 6.4 – Personal circumstances

In the case of a rejection decision, the dean must take into account the personal circumstances of the student. The personal circumstances listed in the article follow from the provisions of Section 2.1 of the WHW Implementation Decree.

Right of approval: -

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 6.5 – Adaptations for students with a disability

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under m, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down the arrangements to be made within reason to enable students with a physical disability or chronic condition to take examinations.'

Students with a permanent impairment, including all conditions that are chronic or permanent in nature and which systematically restrict the student from attending educational activities, taking part in practical training or sitting examinations in the usual way, have the option of requesting that adaptations or special facilities be put in place.

All requests should include all relevant documentation that can be reasonably deemed necessary for the assessment of the request. In any case, this documentation should include a recent statement from a physician or a psychologist or from an assessment firm registered with BIG, NIP or NVO. The key aspect in this regard is to obtain a statement regarding the nature and duration of the disability for the relevant student from a formally recognised expert in the field of the specific disability, which may affect the student's ability to take part in education activities and practical training and participate in examinations. The dean or, on his/her behalf, the director of the educational institute or the programme director decides on adaptations concerning teaching facilities. The Examinations Board decides on requests for adaptations in testing. The Examinations Board must explicitly guarantee that the quality and the level of the interim examination or final examination will be maintained.

(BIG = Individual Health Care Professions, NVO = the Association of Educationalists in the Netherlands, NIP = Dutch Association of Psychologists).

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Chapter 7 – Teaching evaluation*Article 7.1 – Teaching evaluation*

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under a1, of the WHW reads:

‘The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions for the way in which the teaching in the relevant programme is evaluated.’

No right of approval: exclusive reference to Section B

Chapter 8 – Hardship clause*Article 8.1 Hardship clause*

This article provides the dean or the Examinations Board with the authority to deviate from the regulations in individual cases if the application of the regulations should have unintentional and unforeseen consequences that are exceptionally inequitable.

Chapter 9 – Transitional and final provisions*Article 9.1 – Amendments and periodic review of Section A*

Section A may be amended separately from Section B.

Article 9.2 – Transitional provision

With a view to safeguarding the legal security of students, it is vital to determine how older rights are viewed and dealt with. This requires a transitional arrangement. It is recommended that any transitional arrangement include a transposition table of subjects, to be included in an appendix to the OER.

Article 9.3 – Publication

The dean shall ensure publication on the Faculty’s website. In the case of transitional arrangements such as referred to in Article 9.2, it is essential that previous OERs remain retrievable and available to students.

Article 9.4 – Effective date

Section A enters into force separately from Section B. Nevertheless, it is crucial that both Section A and the corresponding components of Section B enter into force at the same time when the format is introduced for the first time.

Section B

Chapter 1 – General provisions

Article 1.1 – Definitions

In supplement to Article 1.2 of Section A, the programme may include programme-specific definitions here.

Article 1.2 – Degree programme information

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under i, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions for the structure of the full-time, part-time and combined work-study degree programme.'

The full-time, part-time and combined work-study variants of the same degree programme may be organised and outlined in one single document. With a view to the provision of adequate and clear information to students, it is recommended that the OER only include the part-time or combined work-study variant of the programme if this variant is indeed registered in the CROHO for the relevant programme.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Programme language of instruction

It is important that the language of instruction of the programme be laid down in the Teaching and Examination Regulations. Any change of the language of instruction is subject to the Faculty Student Council's right of approval and the Programme Committee's right to be consulted. It follows that the Faculty Student Council will consult with the Programme Committee in exercising its right of approval. The language of instruction shall in principle only be laid down again in the Teaching and Examination Regulations following a change of the language of instruction.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 1.2a – Flexible learning [only for programmes that take part in the flexible learning pilot programme]

In February 2017, the Executive Board of the UvA submitted an application to the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science for participation in the flexible learning pilot programme, as referred to in Section 17j of the Decree on experiments in flexible higher education. This application included the memorandum for the organisation of the flexible learning pilot at the UvA, which outlined how the pilot would be structured. The memorandum included the institution-specific decisions that needed to be taken on the basis of the decision to set up and organise the pilot. It was on the basis of this memorandum that the UvA Flexible Learning Pilot Regulations were drawn up. This article in the OER refers to these regulations.

No right of approval: guideline under Section 9.5 of the WHW

Article 1.3 – Main subjects

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under b, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions for the content of the main subjects within a programme.'

Right of approval: Programme Committee

Chapter 2 – Programme objectives and exit qualifications

Article 2.1 Programme objective

Right of approval: Programme Committee

Article 2.2 Exit qualifications

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under c, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions regarding the knowledge, insight and skills that a student is expected to have acquired upon completion of the degree programme.'

This is in alignment with Section 7.3, subsection 2, of the WHW, which defines a degree programme as:

'a coherent whole of units of study, aimed at achieving clearly defined objectives regarding the knowledge, insight and skills to be acquired by the student enrolled in the degree programme.'

Exit qualifications may, for example, be formulated as follows:

The student graduating from the programme:

- o should have knowledge and understanding in the subject area of and more specifically of
- o should have knowledge and understanding of the theoretical and methodological foundations of
- o should have general academic skills, in particular with regard to:
- o should be able to apply knowledge and understanding in such a way as to

If the degree programme has multiple subject specialisations, then the exit qualifications should be outlined *per specialisation*.

Right of approval: Programme Committee

Chapter 3 – Further admission requirements

Article 3.1 Additional previous education requirements

This article is an elaboration of Section 7.25 of the WHW.

Article 3.1, paragraph 1 through paragraph 3:

No right of approval: flows directly from provisions of the WHW or other regulations

Article 3.1, paragraph 4:

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 3.2 Entrance examination

Prospective students who do not hold a pre-university (VWO) diploma or any other equivalent diploma, aged 21 and above, may be admitted following an entrance examination (so-called *colloquium doctum*). The requirements for this examination must be laid down in the OER (Section 7.29, subsection 2, of the WHW). It would seem logical that those requirements should align as much as possible with those for a VWO subject cluster that would result in direct admission to the programme.

The age limit of 21 may be deviated from in regard to prospective students from abroad with a diploma issued outside of the Netherlands, if this diploma would provide admission to higher education in the relevant country.

The decision following a student successfully passing the entrance examination is programme-specific and only results in admission to the programme for which the admission assessment was designed and in the academic year following the taking of the assessment.

*No right of approval (compulsory subject in OER, no right of approval granted)
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee*

Article 3.3a and b Language requirements

This article allows the programme to set Dutch language requirements and criteria for Dutch-language programmes and English language requirements and criteria for English-language programmes. The Admissions Office of Student Services is charged with assessing whether the language criteria have been met. If no exemption can be granted based on the previous education referred to in paragraph 2, then an examination as referred to in paragraph 1 must have been passed successfully.

The examination must have been taken within two years before the time of enrolment for the programme, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

*Right of approval: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee*

Chapter 4 – Curriculum structure

Article 4.1 – Composition of the degree programme

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under a, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions for the content of the programme and of the corresponding examinations.'

*Right of approval: -
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee*

Article 4.2 – Units of study

With respect to Articles 4.2 through 4.4, in the event that the articles from the model OER are included verbatim in the OER of the degree programme, both the Programme Committee and the Faculty Student Council shall have right of approval.

The Programme Committee shall have right of approval with regard to the workload (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under e, of the WHW) of the programme and the units of study that comprise the programme. The Faculty Student Council shall have right of approval for the number and sequential nature of the examinations, as well as with regard to the opportunities at which they may be taken (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under h, of the WHW), where necessary, the order, the time period and the number of times per academic year that such opportunities for examinations and final examinations are offered (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under l, of the WHW), and the assessment format (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under l, of the WHW).

*Right of approval: Programme Committee and Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: The Programme Committee with regard to subjects for which the Faculty Student Council has right of approval.*

Article 4.3 – The [major/compulsory] units of study are:

With respect to Articles 4.3 through 4.6, in the event that the articles from the model OER are included verbatim in the OER of the degree programme, both the Programme Committee and the Faculty Student Council would have right of approval.

UvA Teaching and Examination Regulations for Bachelor's programmes

The Programme Committee would have right of approval with regard to the workload (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under e, of the WHW) of the programme and the units of study that comprise the programme. The Faculty Student Council would have right of approval for the number and sequential nature of the examinations, as well as with regard to the opportunities at which they could be taken (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under h, of the WHW), where necessary, the order, the time period and the number of opportunities per academic year that such opportunities for examinations and final examinations are offered (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under l, of the WHW) and the assessment format (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under l, of the WHW).

Right of approval: Programme Committee and Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: The Programme Committee with regard to subjects for which the Faculty Student Council has right of approval.

Article 4.4 – Electives

Please also see explanatory note 4.3.

Right of approval: Programme Committee and Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: The Programme Committee with regard to subjects for which the Faculty Student Council has right of approval.

Article 4.5 – Free curriculum

Section 7.3d of the WHW reads:

Students who are enrolled in a degree programme in higher education at a research university shall be free to compile a programme corresponding to a final examination from the units of study provided by the institution. If necessary, the institutional management shall appoint an Examinations Board that will be charged with the decision referred to in the first sentence.

Thus, under the WHW, students have the right to compose their own curriculum. They must obtain the approval of the Examinations Board to determine whether the (free) curriculum meets the required level, whether the workload is sufficient and whether it is in line with the learning outcomes of the degree programme.

The Examinations Board that approves the free curriculum will determine to which programme that curriculum should be deemed to correspond for the application of the WHW. This can only be a degree programme that falls under the responsibility of that particular Examinations Board.

Given that, in some cases, an Examinations Board may be of the opinion that it is not the most appropriate Examinations Board to decide on granting approval for a free curriculum due to its composition, it has been agreed that the dean will, if necessary, appoint the Examinations Board that should make a decision on granting approval. It would also be possible to have the OER include criteria that must be met in order to be eligible to obtain a diploma from this degree programme. If a degree certificate for the degree programme cannot be obtained with a free curriculum, as is the case for statutory professional requirements, then this should be stated in the OER.

First and second paragraph: no right of approval, flows directly from the WHW

For the rest:

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.6 – Practical exercises

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under d, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions regulating the organisation of practical exercises.'

Right of approval: Programme Committee

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under t, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions to regulate, where necessary, the obligation to complete a practical component in order to be allowed to take the relevant examination, subject to the Examinations Board's authority to grant an exemption from such an obligation, with or without imposing substitute requirements.'

Practical exercises shall include: writing theses, writing essays or drafts, carrying out research assignments, taking part in field research and excursions, taking part in work placements and participation in any other educational activities deemed necessary that are aimed at achieving the envisaged skills. The assessment of participation in practical exercises is equivalent to an examination or is a part of the examination.

A minimum compulsory attendance measure may be included. The Faculty Student Council has right of approval (and the Programme Committee has the right to be consulted) with regard to compulsory attendance. The Programme Committee shall have right of approval with regard to the organisation of the practical exercise (Section 7.13, subsection 2, under d, of the WHW).

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.7 – Sequence of examinations

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under h, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions regulating the number and order of examinations and the times at which they are administered to students.'

Under this provision, the number of examinations and the order of those examinations must be clearly organised. In addition, a certain order may be made compulsory under Section 7.13, subsection 2, under s, of the WHW.

Section 7.13, subsection 2, under s, of the WHW reads:

'The Teaching and Examination Regulations shall (...) at least lay down provisions to regulate, where necessary, that a student must pass certain examinations before having the option of taking other examinations.'

Each course component in the Course Catalogue should indicate what prior knowledge is required. The OER may outline the required order of course components for cases in which this is in the interest of the progress of the teaching and/or requires the allocation of resources. Safety may also constitute a reason for making certain prior knowledge compulsory by way of a preceding component.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.8 – Further conditions for participation in units of study and examinations

Regarding automatic registration for the examination upon registration for the course, this article regulates in which way students are able to opt out of the examination or de-register without logging 'not attended' (NAP) status.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.9 – Further conditions for examination opportunities

Further rules regarding resits may be included in this article. For example:

UvA Teaching and Examination Regulations for Bachelor's programmes

'[option] Resits for all examinations shall be held in the summer/resits for the examinations shall be held in the period following the period of the examination/resits of Study Period 1 shall be held in [option], resits of Study Period 2 shall be held in [option], etc.'

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.10 – Participation in practical exercises and tutorials

For the explanation on compulsory participation in practical exercises, please also see the explanatory note to Article 4.6. Either the Course Catalogue or the module manual should also state compulsory participation and should point the student to the consequences of absence at practicals or tutorial sessions. It is recommended to include courses or practicals for which attendance is compulsory in the OER as much as possible.

By analogy with Section 7.13, subsection 2, under t, of the WHW, it is recommended that the compulsory attendance of lectures also be included in the Teaching and Examination Regulations.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.11 – Further conditions for exemptions

It is possible to determine a maximum number of ECTS for which exemption may be granted.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.12 – Validity period of results

Please see the explanatory note to Section A, Article 4.8.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council
Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 4.13 – Degree

This is based on Section 7.10a of the WHW.

No right of approval: flows directly from provisions of the WHW.

Chapter 5 – Electives

Article 5.1 – Minors

The workload of a minor, in principle, is 30 ECTS. The minors that can be followed within the UvA are available via the [minors](#) link.

This list does not prejudice the authority of the Examinations Board to assess, where applicable, whether a minor selected by a student is suitable in relation to the exit qualifications of the programme. Within the degree programme, requirements may be set that a minor must meet.

The minors that are mentioned in this article shall not require approval from the Examinations Board.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council and Programme Committee

Article 5.2 – Electives

The elective curriculum may also be filled by a work placement.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council and Programme Committee

Chapter 6 – Honours Programme*Article 6.1 – Honours Programme*

Please see the explanatory note to Section A, Article 5.1.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 6.2 – Honours Programme admission requirements

Right of approval: Programme Committee

Article 6.3 – Content of Honours Programme

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Chapter 7 – Academic student counselling and advice regarding the continuation of studies*Article 7.1 – Academic student counselling*

All enrolled students may make use of the facilities within the degree programme referred to in this article.

Right of approval: Faculty Student Council

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Article 7.2 – Binding (negative) study advice

Right of approval: -

Right to be consulted: Programme Committee

Chapter 8 – Teaching evaluation*Article 8.1 – Teaching evaluation*

In order to ensure internal quality assurance, the Programme Committee has been granted the right to be consulted regarding the way in which teaching is evaluated.

The programme director shall be responsible for evaluating the quality of the education. This can be achieved by drawing up (course) evaluation reports.

Right of approval: Programme Committee

Chapter 9 – Transitional and final provisions

Please see the explanatory note to Chapter 8 of Section A.

Article 9.1 – Amendments to part B

Section B may be amended separately from Section A.

Appendix I

Overview of articles that must be included in the Teaching and Examination Regulations in compliance with the Dutch Higher Education and Research Act (WHW) (boxed articles):

Section A

Art. 1.1	7.13, subsection 1 of the WHW
Art. 2.3	7.29, subsection 2
Art. 3.2	7.13, subsection 2 (e)
Art. 4.2	7.13, subsection 2 (h, l)
Art. 4.3	7.13, subsection 2 (n)
Art. 4.4	7.13, subsection 2 (o)
Art. 4.5	7.13, subsection 2 (j, h)
Art. 4.7	7.13, subsection 2 (r)
Art. 4.8	7.10, subsection 4
Art. 4.9	7.13, subsection 2 (p)
Art. 4.10	7.13, subsection 2 (q)
Art. 5.1	7.13, subsection 2 (v)
Art. 6.1	7.13, subsection 2 (u)
Art. 6.2	7.13, subsection 2 (f)
Art. 6.3	7.13, subsection 2 (f)
Art. 6.4	7.13, subsection 2 (f)
Art. 6.5	7.13, subsection 2 (m)
Art. 7.1	7.13, subsection 2 (a1)

Section B

Art. 1.2	7.13, subsection 2 (i)
Art. 1.3	7.13, subsection 2 (b)
Art. 2.1	7.13, subsection 1 (b, c)
Art. 2.2	7.13, subsection 2 (c)
Art. 3.1	7.25, subsection 4
Art. 3.2	7.29, subsection 2
Art. 4.1	7.13, subsection 2 (a)
Art. 4.3	7.13, subsection 2 (e, h, j, l)
Art. 4.4	7.13, subsection 2 (e, h, j, l)
Art. 4.6	7.13, subsection 2 (d)
Art. 4.7	7.13, subsection 2 (s)
Art. 4.10	7.13, subsection 2 (t)
Art. 4.12	7.10, subsection 4
Art. 6.2	7.13, subsection 2 (v)
Art. 7.2	7.13, subsection 2 (f)

Appendix II

Overview of guidelines in accordance with Section 9.5 of the Dutch Higher Education and Research Act (WHW) at the UvA:

<i>Oer structure is an official guideline</i>		date of decision: 20 November 2012 effective date: 1 September 2013
Section A		
Art. 4.5, paragraph 3 <i>Most recent mark applies</i>		date of decision: 14 February 2008 effective date: 14 March 2008
Art. 4.6	<i>Marks</i> (5.5 as pass mark)	date of decision: 14 February 2008 effective date: 14 March 2008
	(5.1 – 5.9 are not given as a final mark)	date of decision: 27 January 2014 effective date: 1 September 2014
Art. 4.13	<i>Fraud and plagiarism</i>	date of decision: 25 May 2010 effective date: 1 September 2010
Art. 1.2a	Flexible learning (only for programmes taking part in the pilot)	date of decision: 5 April 2017 effective date: 1 May 2017



Appendix III

Overview of the (model) regulations referred to in the model OER or that may otherwise be of importance within the framework of the model OER:

- Regulations governing Fraud and Plagiarism for UvA students;
- Code of Conduct governing Foreign Languages at the University of Amsterdam 2000;
- Examinations Board Guide;
- UvA Assessment Policy Framework 2010;
- Model Rules and Guidelines of the Examinations Board;
- VU-UvA Honours Programme Decision, adopted on 11 December 2013.